

Faculty Senate Agenda Item

Submitted By: UNLV Promotion and Tenure Committee

Title of the Proposal: UNLV Bylaw Amendment to Title 5, Chapter 6, Chapter III, Sec. 16.6: Time Periods for Promotion Recommendations

Description: This modification to Title 5, Chapter 6, Chapter III, Section 16.6: Time Periods for Promotion Recommendations will provide guidance regarding normal and usual timelines for promotion and tenure.

Sections of Regents Handbook, Senate Constitution/Bylaws Affected:

UNLV Bylaw amendment to Title 5, Chapter 6, Chapter III, Sections B, C, E: Time Periods for Promotion Recommendations. Additions in **bold underline**; deletions in ~~strike through~~.

Title 5, Chapter 6, CHAPTER III

16.6 Time Periods for Promotion Recommendations.

- A. An instructor may be considered for promotion any time upon completion of the terminal degree.
- B. An assistant professor ~~may be~~ **is normally** considered for promotion **in the sixth year but may be considered earlier in exceptional circumstances.** ~~any time and~~ **Assistant professors** must be considered for promotion after a period of not more than six years in this rank.
- C. An associate professor **is normally expected to be in rank for five years prior to applying for promotion to full professor. In exceptional circumstances an application for promotion may be considered earlier.** ~~may be considered for promotion any time. And Associate professors must be considered for promotion after a period of not more than eight years in this rank.~~
- D. In the evaluation of a candidate's qualifications for any promotion, any accomplishments relevant to the criteria for promotion occurring any time during the period since the last promotion may be considered.
- E. ~~At the option of the faculty member, consideration for promotion may be waived.~~

Arguments For:

Provides guidance regarding normal and usual timelines for promotion and tenure.

The suggested language deals with timing only. Section 16.5 deals with continued development of teaching effectiveness, significant research contributions, and significant record of service.

Arguments Against:

Can be misinterpreted to mean required timelines, could discourage faculty from going up early.

This section to be completed by the Faculty Senate Office:

	Date	*if required	Date
Submitted	10-2-09	Approved by Faculty*	
Placed On The Faculty Senate Agenda	10-27-09	Approved by President*	
Faculty Senate Action		Approved by Regents*	